

Meeting Session	DCUSA Panel (Open Session)
Paper Reference	Panel_2023_0816_09_SIG Headline Report
Action	For Information

SIG Headline Report

1. Synopsis

- 1.1 This report sets out the key decisions made at the DCUSA Standing Issues Group (SIG) on 28 July. The minutes of the meeting can be located on the DCUSA website [here](#).

2. Review of DIF 67 ‘Use of “REP-242” in aggregated billing’

- 2.1 A DCUSA Issues Form (DIF) was raised and discussed at the SIG meeting on 28 July 2023.

- 2.2 The proposer noted that following an enquiry to the MHHS programme, they have stated:

“for settlement dates for which suppliers don’t have any registered and energised Whole Current MHHS MPANs, the supplier will be included on the LDSOs version of the REP-002 and any such suppliers will be issued an (empty) REP-002 where the supplier is a qualified participant with the correct role type”.

it would contain the following records:

- SO – Transactional Info
- R027 Distributor Id
- R013 – GSP Group
- R007 Settlement Run Execution
- R002 – Settlement Run Info
- R009 – Supplier MPID (REP-002) or R006 – Embedded LDSO Id (REP-002A)

but none of the following:

- R010 Tariff Characteristics
- R011 – Consumption data by Settlement Period
- R0105 – Daily volumes on Actuals or Estimates”

- 2.3 The question posed to SIG members was what Distributors should do about sending the REP-242 where blank data is received in the REP-002.

- 2.4 There were a number of scenarios that SIG members considered:

- New supplier in ISD with no customers (may not even be a DCUSA party)
- Old supplier exiting the market, with no customers but not yet end dated in ISD.
- Supplier had one energised customer in early runs but a later reconciliation run is blank due to retrospective de-energisation.
- Supplier had no energised customers in early runs and so blank details in the REP-002 but a later reconciliation run is populated due to retrospective energisation.

- 2.5 This has an implication for subsequent billing and poses a question as to whether zero invoices be sent for all blank suppliers contained in REP-002s if Distributors send blank statements.
- 2.6 SIG members considered potential solutions to the issue above as below:
- Send REP-242 to all suppliers in the REP-002
 - Send REP-242 to non-blank suppliers only
 - Send REP-242 to non-blank suppliers and any blank suppliers that were populated on a previous run
 - Lobby to change REP-002
- 2.7 SIG members agreed it would be sensible to seek industry views on the above, in particular, what solution would best address the concerns raised. The following questions were agreed:
- Considering the issues raised in DIF 67, do you have a preference from the four suggested options?
 - Do you have any other solution/s that the SIG have not considered?
 - If a blank REP-242 is to be sent, where there was not data in the previous reconciliation, should a zero invoice be raised?
- 2.8 This RFI was issued on 01 August 2023, with a deadline for responses set for 21 August 2023. The RFI can be located on the DCUSA website [here](#).

3. Recommendations

- 3.1 The DCUSA Panel is invited to:
- **NOTE** the contents of this paper.

Richard Colwill
Operational Change Manager